Roads Supplementary Documentation 1 2 3 This roads supplementary documentation is organized into four parts: 4 5 A: **Primary Road Corridors Branch Road Corridors** 6 B: 7 C: **Operational Roads** 8 Existing Roads or Road Networks D: 9 10 Part A of the roads supplementary documentation has been completed at stage 2 of the planning process. Parts B, C and D will be completed at stage 3 of the planning process – 11 12 planned operations. 13 14 Maps of the proposed alternative primary road corridors are presented at the end of this 15 documentation. 16 17 A: PRIMARY ROAD CORRIDORS 18 19 The Algonquin Park Forest Management Unit has had an approved permanent forest 20 management road system strategy since 1981. The road system has been completed to provide 21 for economical transportation of forest products to processing facilities and access for renewal 22 and tending activities. 23 24 The Algonquin Park Forest Independent Forest Audit 1997-2002 recommended that the roads 25 strategy be reviewed. An updated Forest Management Access Roads Strategy for the Algonquin Park Forest has been developed as an internal policy document by Ontario Parks 26 27 in partnership with the Algonquin Forestry Authority in order to meet this IFA 28 recommendation. 29 30 A major part of the Roads Strategy deals with the division of the management unit into 31 "Forest Access Management Areas" (FAMA's) which are based, to the extent possible, on the 32 existing road system. Primary and/or branch roads form the backbone of access to a FAMA. 33 A number of permanent and temporary breaks in the permanent road system were also 34 mapped in support of the objectives and strategies contained in the Road Strategy. It is 35 recognized that refinements to FAMA boundaries and locations of road breaks may be made 36 based on improved information. 37 38 Incorporation of any components of the Roads Strategy into the FMP are subject to review 39 and discussion by the planning team. 40 41 Four changes to the Primary Road system are proposed for construction during the term of the 42 FMP. One kilometre wide corridors have been developed as per the FMPM.

43 44 45

1. Alternative Corridors

This change to the Primary road system to provide access to areas in Preston and Sproule townships (FAM Area 25) currently accessed by the Cameron Lake Road is necessitated by two factors:

- 1. The replacement of the Annie Bay dam will not provide a bridge over the Opeongo River, eliminating access from the north.
- 2. The Forest Management Access Roads Strategy for the Algonquin Park Forest shows a permanent break in the road system at the south end of the Cameron Lake road where it meets the Opeongo Lake Rd.

Loss of these road connections leaves FAM area 25 without road access. It is proposed that access to this area is from the east, off the Shirley Lake Road via an extension of the Billy Lake Road. Both alternatives include some sections of operational road from past harvest cycles. The new section of road will connect with existing roads at its western end.

2. Environmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors

- (a) Alternative corridor number: **Alternative 1**
- (b) Description (attach map): This alternative passes south of Booth, Mole and Godda Lakes.
- (c) Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):
 - (i) Advantages and disadvantages:

There will be less new road to be built using this alternative and overall fewer water crossings and wet areas to cross. Less distance inside Brook Trout AOC than Alternative 2. Three bridges to be built vs. four in Alternative 2. There is potential for gravel sources along this route.

This route is closer to Booth Lake, which is a heavily used canoe route. Terrain contains more adverse hills than Alternative 2. Road will be within 35m. of Mole Lake to Raja Lake portage for a considerable distance.

(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following activities:

- road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching

- repair of washouts

clearing of obstacles from right-of-way

 brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.

snowplowing and sanding

- dust control

 - signage and safety structure repairs

 - culvert repairs and cleaning

- minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety

| 1 | | bridge and culvert replacement |
|----------|-----|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the |
| 4 | | Algonquin Forestry Authority. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin |
| 7 | | Park, this road is closed to the public. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used |
| 10 | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer |
| 11 | | responsibility. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | Construction of this alternative would be less costly than Alternative 2. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2 |
| 18 | (b) | Description (attach map): This alternative passes north of Boot Lake and south |
| 19 | () | of Raja and Sandmartin Lakes. |
| 20 | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): |
| 21 | | (i) Advantages and disadvantages: |
| 22 | | This route is farther away from heavily used canoe routes. Rough terrain, but |
| 23 | | grades are generally favourable. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | This route is within the Brook Trout AOC on Boot and Bailey Lakes for a |
| 26 | | considerable distance. There is currently no road access near these lakes. The |
| 27 | | watercrossing at the north end of Boot Lake requires a causeway and 30' |
| 28 | | bridge. Four bridges are needed for this alternative. Extensive rock blasting |
| 29 | | will be necessary west of Raja Lake. Gravel sources are very limited. |
| 30 | | (ii) Has man a sement strategy (Port A. Castion 1.2.6.6. items (a). (a)). |
| 31 | | (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): |
| 32 | | Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following |
| 33 34 | | activities: - road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching |
| 35 | | - repair of washouts |
| 36 | | - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 37 | | - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. |
| 38 | | - snowplowing and sanding |
| 39 | | - dust control |
| 40 | | - signage and safety structure repairs |
| 41 | | - culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 42 | | - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability |
| 43 | | and safety |
| 44 | | bridge and culvert replacement |
| 45 | | oriago una curvoit replacement |
| - | | |

| 1 2 | | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority. | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 5 | | | <u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public. | |
| 6 | | | , | |
| 7 | | | Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used | |
| 8 9 | | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility. | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 12 13 | | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management Construction of this route would be more costly than Alternative 1 due to longer length, longer gravel haul distances, more bridges and blasting. | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | 3. | Summ | ary of Public Comments | |
| 16 | ٥. | Summ | ary of rubite comments | |
| | Commi | م المام المام | anation of the Dhase I. Chang Two of acqualitation | |
| 17 | Comp | iete tins | section after Phase I: Stage Two of consultation. | |
| 18 | _ | _ | | |
| 19 | 4. | Propos | sed Corridor | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 22 | Comp | Complete this section prior to Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | | |
| 23 | | (a) | Description (attach map): | |
| 24 | | (b) | Use management strategy: | |
| 25 | | (c) | Rationale: | |
| | | (C) | Rationale. | |
| 26 27 | 5. | Summ | ary of Public Comments | |
| | <i>J</i> . | Sullilli | ary of rubine comments | |
| 28 | C 1 | 1 - 4 - 41-1- | and an after Disco. I. Com. Thurs of a small still a | |
| 29 30 | Complete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | | | |
| | | Calaati | ed Corridor | |
| 31 32 | 6. | Selecti | ed Corridor | |
| 33 | If the 1 | nronose | d corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation | |
| 34 | is requ | - | a correct and use management strategy are selected, no rurner documentation | |
| 35 | 15 requ | iiicu. | | |
| 36 | If the | selected | corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed | |
| 37 | | | r use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections | |
| | | | | |
| 38 | 4(a), (| o) ana (o | c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy. | |
| 39 | | | | |
| 40 | | | | |

| 1 | ROA | D NAN | ME/IDENTIFIER: Manta Lake Road |
|----------|-----|---------|--|
| 2 3 | 1. | A 14 ox | rnative Corridors |
| 4 | | | vele the area bounded by Burntroot, Manta and Hogan Lakes (FAM Area 32) was |
| 5 | | • | the Hogan Lake Road and a crossing of the Hogan Lake marsh at the south end |
| 6 | | | ike. This crossing no longer exists and for several reasons will not be rebuilt. |
| 7 | | _ | ess to the area must be developed either south from the Narrowbag road or west |
| 8 | | • | set Creek Road. |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | 2. | Envi | ronmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 1 – Manta Lake Road |
| 13 | | (b) | Description (attach map): This alternative involves constructing approximately |
| 14 | | | 3km of new road to connect the existing road to the south with the Narrowbag |
| 15 | | (2) | Road to the north |
| 16 | | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): |
| 17 | | | (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 1 has a lesser |
| 18 | | | environmental impact with respect to water crossings, as all are over relatively |
| 19 | | | small creeks, and is the most efficient route, minimizing overall trucking |
| 20 21 | | | related environmental impacts. |
| 22 | | | (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): |
| 23 | | | Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following |
| 24 | | | activities: |
| 25 | | | - road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching |
| 26 | | | - repair of washouts |
| 27 | | | - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 28 | | | - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. |
| 29 | | | - snowplowing and sanding |
| 30 | | | - dust control |
| 31 | | | - signage and safety structure repairs |
| 32 | | | - culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 33 | | | - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability |
| 34 | | | and safety |
| 35 | | | - bridge and culvert replacement |
| 36 | | | 7 |
| 37 | | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the |
| 38 | | | Algonquin Forestry Authority. |
| 39 | | | |
| 40 | | | Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin |
| 41 | | | Park, this road is closed to the public. |
| 42 | | | - |
| 43 | | | Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used |
| 44 | | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer |
| 45 | | | responsibility. |
| 46 | | | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: Alternative 1 would be the most direct route, with the lower construction and haul costs of the two alternatives. No major bridges are required, as all water crossings are over small creeks. This alternative also has the least impact on canoe routes as it only crosses the Manta Lake portage. |
|--|-----|--|
| 7 8 | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2 - Charles Lake Rd Extension |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (b) | Description (attach map): This alternative involves the upgrading of approximately 10.4 km of road from the Bissett Creek Road near Charles lake to the Little Madawaska River to the west. A major crossing of the Little Madawaska River north of Hogan Lake would require a bridge with a span of at least 16 metres (50'). Approximately 3.6 km of major upgrades and new road construction would be required between the Little Madawaska river and the existing Manta Lake road to the west. |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 2 has greater environmental impacts due to much more significant road construction, longer haul routes and a major water crossing. (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following |
| 25 26 27 28 29 | | activities: - road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching - repair of washouts - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc snowplowing and sanding |
| 31 32 33 34 35 36 | | dust control signage and safety structure repairs culvert repairs and cleaning minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety bridge and culvert replacement |
| 37 38 39 40 | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority. |
| 41 42 43 | | <u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public. |
| 14 15 16 | | <u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | | (iv) Estimated costs of construction and use management Alternative 2 would result in significantly higher construction costs, approximately \$250,000 above Alternative 1. Alternative 2 also results in higher hauling costs due to the less direct route. Alternative 2 is also less desirable from a social perspective as the route necessitates the crossing of the canoe route on the Little Madawaska River. | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 9 | 3. | Summary of Public Comments | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | Comp | e this section after Phase I: Stage Two of consultation. | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | 4. | Proposed Corridor | | |
| 14 | C | this section wise to Dhose I. Come There of second to the | | |
| 15 16 | Comp | te this section prior to Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | | |
| 17 | | a) Description (attach map): | | |
| 18 | | b) Use management strategy: | | |
| 19 | | c) Rationale: | | |
| 20 | | Kationaic. | | |
| 21 | 5. | Summary of Public Comments | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | Comp | e this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | | |
| 24 | 1 | č | | |
| 25 | 6. | Selected Corridor | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | If the | oposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation | | |
| 28 | is required. | | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | lected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed | | |
| 31 | | and/or use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections | | |
| 32 | 4(a), (| and (c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy. | | |
| 33 | | | | |
| 34 | | | | |

| 2 3 | 1. | Altor | enative Corridors |
|--|--------------------|---|---|
| 5 6 7 8 9 | In the some design | last cy points nated as ns are b | rcle the Three Mile Lake Road ran down the west side of Three Mile Lake, at along the shore. Access to the area south of Three Mile Lake (currently s FAM Area 2 or 3) was via this road. The road is currently not driveable and being looked at to avoid rebuilding the road along the shoreline of Three Mile |
| 10 | 2. | Envi | ronmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | | (a) (b) (c) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 1 – Original route Description (attach map): The original route used in the previous harvest cycle. The current road location is close to Three Mile Lake, often within 500m. Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 1 has disadvantages associated with being located close to Three Mile Lake, but would require the least use of aggregate and construction related disturbance, since the entire length would be reconstructed upon the footprint of the existing road. It also has the social disadvantage of using the portage between Manitou Lake and Three Mile Lake as the road for approximately 1 km. |
| 242526 | | | (iii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following activities: |
| 27 28 29 | | | road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching repair of washouts clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 30 31 | | | brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.snowplowing and sanding |
| 32 33 34 | | | dust control signage and safety structure repairs culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 35 36 | | | minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety |

373839

<u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority.

40 41 42

 $\underline{Access\ Restrictions}$ – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public.

43 44 bridge and culvert replacement

| 1 | | Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer |
| 3 | | responsibility. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | (iv) Estimated costs of construction and use management: |
| 6 | | Alternative 1 would result in road construction costs approximately 13 to 18 |
| 7 | | percent less than the other alternatives. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2- Three Mile Lake Bypass |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | (b) | Description (attach map): The new proposed location for Three Mile Lake |
| 13 | | Road with three new bypass sections located outside the 2005 FMP Brook |
| 14 | | Trout AOC on Three Mile Lake. A total of approximately 9 km of new road |
| 15 | | construction would be required to locate the road further from the lake, in |
| 16 | | addition to the use of sections of rebuilt road from the previous harvest cycle. |
| 17 | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): |
| 18 | | (i) Advantages and disadvantages: |
| 19 | | Alternative 2 is approximately 1 km shorter than Alternative 1, resulting in |
| 20 | | lesser environmental impacts related to trucking (noise, wildlife collisions, air |
| 21 | | pollution). Alternative 2 also has the advantage of avoiding five small water |
| 22 | | crossings associated with Alternative 1, south of Three Mile Lake. |
| 23 | | g g |
| 24 | | (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): |
| 25 | | Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following |
| 26 | | activities: |
| 27 | | - road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching |
| 28 | | - repair of washouts |
| 29 | | - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 30 | | - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. |
| 31 | | - snowplowing and sanding |
| 32 | | - dust control |
| 33 | | - signage and safety structure repairs |
| 34 | | - culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 35 | | - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability |
| 36 | | and safety |
| 37 | | bridge and culvert replacement |
| 38 | | - bridge and curvert repracement |
| | | Manitoring mode and viotan argaings will be manitored annually by the |
| 39 | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the |
| 40 | | Algonquin Forestry Authority. |
| 41 | | A cooss Doctrictions As is the coss with most interior reads in Alexander |
| 42 | | Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin |
| 43 | | Park, this road is closed to the public. |
| 44 | | |

| 1 | | <u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used |
|----------------------|-----|---|
| 2 | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer |
| 3 | | responsibility. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: |
| 6 | | Alternative 2 - would result in similar construction costs to Alternative 3, but |
| 7 | | would result in slightly higher trucking costs due to the longer haul distance. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 3- Totem Lake Road |
| 11 | · / | |
| 12 | (b) | Description (attach map): Alternative 3 would involve the construction of |
| 13 | (-) | approximately 6.3 km of new road on the east side of Three Mile Lake, linking |
| 14 | | the Maple Lake road with the southern portion of the existing Three Mile Lake |
| 15 | | road. |
| 16 | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): |
| 17 | (0) | (i) Advantages and disadvantages: |
| 18 | | Alternative 3 is approximately 1 km shorter than Alternative 2, and 2.5 km |
| 19 | | shorter than Alternative 1, resulting in lesser environmental impacts related to |
| 20 | | trucking (noise, wildlife collisions, air pollution). This alternative has the |
| 21 | | social advantage of avoiding the crossing of the portage between Kawa and |
| 22 | | Upper Kawa lakes, but does require the crossing of the low use portage |
| 23 | | between Upper Kawa and Totem lakes. |
| 23 24 | | between Opper Rawa and Totelli lakes. |
| 2 4 25 | | (ii) Use management etrategy (Part A. Section 1.2.6.6. items (a) (a)): |
| 25 26 | | (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): |
| | | <u>Maintenance</u> – General road maintenance will consist of the following activities: |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | - road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching |
| 29 | | - repair of washouts |
| 30 | | - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 31 | | - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. |
| 32 | | - snowplowing and sanding |
| 33 | | - dust control |
| 34 | | - signage and safety structure repairs |
| 35 | | - culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 36 | | - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability |
| 37 | | and safety |
| 38 | | bridge and culvert replacement |
| 39 | | |
| 40 | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the |
| 41 | | Algonquin Forestry Authority. |
| 42 | | |
| 43 | | Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin |
| 44 | | Park, this road is closed to the public. |
| 45 | | |

| 1 2 3 | | | <u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility. | |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 4 5 6 7 | | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: Alternative 3 would result in the lowest overall haul costs and would have similar construction costs to Alternative 2. | |
| 8 9 10 | 3. | Summ | nary of Public Comments | |
| 11 12 | Comp | lete this | section after Phase I: Stage Two of consultation. | |
| 13 | 4. | Propo | sed Corridor | |
| 14 15 16 | Comp | plete this section prior to Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | | |
| 17 18 19 20 | | (a) (b) (c) | Description (attach map): Use management strategy: Rationale: | |
| 21 22 | 5. | Summ | nary of Public Comments | |
| 23 24 | Comp | Complete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | | |
| 25 26 | 6. | Selecte | ed Corridor | |
| 27 28 29 | If the proposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation is required. | | | |
| 30 31 32 33 34 | corrido | or and/o | corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed or use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy. | |

ROAD NAME/IDENTIFIER: Thompson Lake Road

1. Alternative Corridors

(b)

The Thompson Lake Road is existing, but not drivable. Options for accessing FAM area 5 are being investigated.

2. Environmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors

(a) Alternative corridor number: **Alternative 1 – Original Route**

previous harvest cycle. This alternative would require the rebuilding of approximately 4.1 km of old road outside Algonquin park, from the Daventry Road to the park boundary near Thompson Lake, and another 1 km inside the park, with the remainder of the road inside the park shared with alternative 2. This alternative would require a new bridge with a span of approximately 10 metres (30') over Pautois Creek just off of Daventry Road at km 8, and a new bridge with span of approximately 14 metres (40') between Thompson and

Description (attach map): Alternative 1 is the original route used in the

(c) Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):

Little Thompson Lakes.

 (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 1 results in the shortest haul distance, which reduces trucking related environmental impacts, but requires more road construction work (and related environmental disturbance) than alternative 2. Alternative 1 requires the construction of two significant permanent bridges, which is the most significant environmental impact of the three alternatives considered.

(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following activities:

- road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching

repair of washouts

 clearing of obstacles from right-of-way

brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.

snowplowing and sandingdust control

- signage and safety structure repairs

 minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety

- bridge and culvert replacement

culvert repairs and cleaning

 <u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority.

<u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public.

| 1 | | <u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used |
|----------------------------------|------|--|
| 2 | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer |
| 3 | | responsibility. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: |
| 6 | | Due to the length of road to upgrade and the two large bridges required, the |
| 7 | | construction of Alternative 1 would be 10% to 80% more costly than |
| 8 | | alternative 2. The wide range in cost difference is related to the uncertainty of |
| 9 | | adjacent work that may be undertaken by operators on the Nipissing Forest. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2- Thompson Lake Bypass-North |
| 13 | (44) | |
| 14 | (b) | Description (attach map): Alternative 2 would require a new section of road |
| 15 | (0) | connecting the original route inside the park with the Daventry Road directly |
| 16 | | to the East. This alternative would avoid the need for the two significant |
| 17 | | permanent bridges required for Alternative 1. In order to harvest the area to |
| 18 | | the north of Little Thompson Lake, a portable bridge would be required |
| 19 | | between Thompson Lake and Little Thompson Lake, or skid trails could be |
| | | used to cross the park boundary from the north, if work on the adjacent |
| 20 | | ± Y |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 | (a) | Nipissing Forest permitted access to that area. |
| 22 | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): |
| 23 | | (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Compared to Alternative 1, this |
| 24 2.5 | | alternative would have less environmental impact as there are no major |
| 25 | | permanent bridges required, but would add approximately 4km to the |
| | | distance to be travelled by log trucks. |
| 27 | | (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): |
| 28 | | <u>Maintenance</u> – General road maintenance will consist of the following |
| 29 | | activities: |
| 30 | | road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching |
| 31 | | - repair of washouts |
| 32 | | clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 33 | | - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. |
| 34 | | snowplowing and sanding |
| 35 | | - dust control |
| 36 | | signage and safety structure repairs |
| 37 | | - culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 38 | | - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability |
| 39 | | and safety |
| 40 | | - bridge and culvert replacement |
| 41 | | |
| 42 | | Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the |
| 43 | | Algonquin Forestry Authority. |
| 14 | | U 1 |
| 45 | | Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin |
| 16 | | Park this road is closed to the public |

| 1 | | |
|----------|-----|---|
| 2 | | Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used |
| 3 | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer |
| 4 | | responsibility. |
| 5 | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: |
| 6 | | Alternative 2 is the least costly alternative to construct, but would result in |
| 7 | | slightly higher wood hauling costs due to the increase in total trucking distance |
| 8 | | compared to Alternative 1. |
| 9 | | compared to Alternative 1. |
| | | |
| 10 11 | | |
| | (a) | Alternative comider numbers Alternative 2. Thomason Lake Dynass |
| 12 | (a) | Alternative corridor number: Alternative 3 – Thompson Lake Bypass - |
| 13 | | South |
| 14 | 4. | |
| 15 | (b) | Description (attach map): Alternative 3 is the construction of approximately 10 |
| 16 | | km of new and existing road, linking the Daventry road south of Brain lake |
| 17 | | with the operating units to the northwest by following a route entirely within |
| 18 | | Algonquin Park. In order to harvest the area to the north of Little Thompson |
| 19 | | Lake, a portable bridge would be required between Thompson Lake and Little |
| 20 | | Thompson Lake, or skid trails could be used to cross the park boundary from |
| 21 | | the north, if work on the adjacent Nipissing Forest permitted access to that |
| 22 | | area. |
| 23 | (c) | Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): |
| 24 | | (i) Advantages and disadvantages: This alternative would require a new |
| 25 | | bridge with a span of approximately 10 metres (30') over Cauchon Creek, as |
| 26 | | well as several culverts over smaller creeks. Compared to Alternative 2, the |
| 27 | | indirect route created by this alternative would require3 km of additional road |
| 28 | | construction and would add 12 km to the log haul route. The combination of |
| 29 | | water crossings, road construction and additional trucking required by this |
| 30 | | alternative contribute to a significantly higher environmental impact than the |
| 31 | | other two alternatives. |
| 32 | | (ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) –(e)): |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | | Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following |
| 35 | | activities: |
| 36 | | road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching |
| 37 | | - repair of washouts |
| 38 | | - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way |
| 39 | | - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. |
| 40 | | - snowplowing and sanding |
| 41 | | - dust control |
| 42 | | - signage and safety structure repairs |
| 43 | | - culvert repairs and cleaning |
| 44 | | - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability |
| 45 | | and safety |
| 46 | | - bridge and culvert replacement |
| - | | |

| 1 2 3 | | <u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority. | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|
| 4 5 | | <u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public. | |
| 6 7 | | Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used | 1 |
| 8 | | by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer | |
| 9 | | responsibility. | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | (iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: | |
| 12 | | Construction of this alternative would cost two to three times more than the | |
| 13 | | others, and would result in additional log hauling costs of \$165,000 and | |
| 14 | | \$120,000 for Alternatives 1 and 2 respectively. | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | 3. | Summary of Public Comments | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Comp | te this section after Phase I: Stage Two of consultation. | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | 4. | Proposed Corridor | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 | Comp | te this section prior to Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | |
| 23 24 | | a) Description (ettech men) | |
| 24 | | a) Description (attach map): | |
| 23 26 | | b) Use management strategy:c) Rationale: | |
| 20 27 | | C) Rationale. | |
| 28 | 5. | Summary of Public Comments | |
| 29 | ٥. | difficulty of Lubic Comments | |
| 30 | Comr | te this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. | |
| 31 | F | | |
| 32 | 6. | Selected Corridor | |
| 33 | | | |
| 34 | If the | oposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentatio | n |
| 35 | is req | ed. | |
| 36 | | | |
| 37 | | lected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed | |
| 38 | | and/or use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections | |
| 39 | 4(a), (| and (c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy. | |
| 10 | | | |







