Roads Supplementary Documentation

3	This roads s	upplementary documentation is organized into four parts:
4	۸.	Drimory Dood Corridors
5	A:	Primary Road Corridors
6	B:	Branch Road Corridors
7	C:	Operational Roads
8	D:	Existing Roads or Road Networks
9		
10		e roads supplementary documentation has been completed at stage 2 of the
11		ocess. Parts B, C and D will be completed at stage 3 of the planning process –
12	planned ope	rations.
13		
14	Maps of the	proposed alternative primary road corridors are presented at the end of this
15	documentati	on.
16		
17	A: PRI	MARY ROAD CORRIDORS
18		
19	The Algonq	uin Park Forest Management Unit has had an approved permanent forest
20	0 1	t road system strategy since 1981. The road system has been completed to provide
21		cal transportation of forest products to processing facilities and access for renewal
22	and tending	
23		
24	The Algona	uin Park Forest Independent Forest Audit 1997-2002 recommended that the roads
25		reviewed. An updated Forest Management Access Roads Strategy for the
26		Park Forest has been developed as an internal policy document by Ontario Parks
27	U	ip with the Algonquin Forestry Authority in order to meet this IFA
28	recommenda	
29	recommend	
30	A major par	t of the Roads Strategy deals with the division of the management unit into
31	<i>v</i> 1	ess Management Areas" (FAMA's) which are based, to the extent possible, on the
32		d system. Primary and/or branch roads form the backbone of access to a FAMA.
33	0	f permanent and temporary breaks in the permanent road system were also
34		upport of the objectives and strategies contained in the Road Strategy. It is
35		hat refinements to FAMA boundaries and locations of road breaks may be made
36	-	proved information.
30 37	Dased on mi	proved information.
38	Incomponetic	an of any components of the Doods Strategy into the EMD are subject to review
	-	on of any components of the Roads Strategy into the FMP are subject to review
39	and discussi	on by the planning team.
40	F 1	
41	U	es to the Primary Road system are proposed for construction during the term of the
42	FMP. One k	ilometre wide corridors have been developed as per the FMPM.
43		
44		
45		

1 2	ROA	D NAM	E/IDENTIFIER: <u>Billy Lake Road</u>
3	1.	Alterr	native Corridors
4	This c	hange to	o the Primary road system to provide access to areas in Preston and Sproule
5			AM Area 25) currently accessed by the Cameron Lake Road is necessitated by
6	two fa		
7	1.	The re	placement of the Annie Bay dam will not provide a bridge over the Opeongo
8			eliminating access from the north.
9	2.		orest Management Access Roads Strategy for the Algonquin Park Forest shows
10			nanent break in the road system at the south end of the Cameron Lake road
11		-	it meets the Opeongo Lake Rd.
12	Loss o		road connections leaves FAM area 25 without road access. It is proposed that
13			area is from the east, off the Shirley Lake Road via an extension of the Billy
14			oth alternatives include some sections of operational road from past harvest
15			ew section of road will connect with existing roads at its western end.
16	2		
17			
18	2.	Envir	onmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors
19			•
20		(a)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 1
21		(b)	Description (attach map): This alternative passes south of Booth, Mole and
22			Godda Lakes.
23		(c)	Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):
24			(i) Advantages and disadvantages:
25			There will be less new road to be built using this alternative and overall fewer
26			water crossings and wet areas to cross. Less distance inside Brook Trout AOC
27			than Alternative 2. Three bridges to be built vs. four in Alternative 2. There is
28			potential for gravel sources along this route.
29			
30			This route is closer to Booth Lake, which is a heavily used canoe route. Terrain
31			contains more adverse hills than Alternative 2. Road will be within 35m. of
32			Mole Lake to Raja Lake portage for a considerable distance.
33			
34			(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)):
35			Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following
36			activities:
37			 road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching
38			- repair of washouts
39			 clearing of obstacles from right-of-way
40			- brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.
41			 snowplowing and sanding
42			- dust control
43			- signage and safety structure repairs
44			- culvert repairs and cleaning
45			- minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability
46			and safety

1		- bridge and culvert replacement
2 3 4		Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority.
5 6 7		<u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public.
8 9 10		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer
11 12 13 14		responsibility.(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management:
15 16		Construction of this alternative would be less costly than Alternative 2.
17 18 19	(a) (b)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2 Description (attach map): This alternative passes north of Boot Lake and south of Raja and Sandmartin Lakes.
20 21 22	(c)	 Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): (i) Advantages and disadvantages: This route is farther away from heavily used canoe routes. Rough terrain, but
23 24 25		grades are generally favourable. This route is within the Brook Trout AOC on Boot and Bailey Lakes for a
26 27 28 29		considerable distance. There is currently no road access near these lakes. The watercrossing at the north end of Boot Lake requires a causeway and 30' bridge. Four bridges are needed for this alternative. Extensive rock blasting will be necessary west of Raja Lake. Gravel sources are very limited.
30 31 32		 Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): <u>Maintenance</u> – General road maintenance will consist of the following
33 34 35		activities: - road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching - repair of washouts
36 37 38		 clearing of obstacles from right-of-way brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. snowplowing and sanding
39 40 41		 dust control signage and safety structure repairs culvert repairs and cleaning
42 43 44		 minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety bridge and culvert replacement
45		

1 2		<u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority.
23		Algoliquin Polesu y Autionty.
4		Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin
4 5		Park, this road is closed to the public.
6		Tark, this foad is closed to the public.
7		Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used
8		by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer
9		responsibility.
10		responsionity.
10		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management
12		Construction of this route would be more costly than Alternative 1 due to
12		longer length, longer gravel haul distances, more bridges and blasting.
13 14		longer length, longer graver haar distances, more bridges and blasting.
15	3.	Summary of Public Comments
16		Alternative 1:
17		Concern regarding noise and physical impacts on Booth/Mole/Boot Lake
18		canoe route and portage.
19		Alternative 2:
20		Concern regarding noise and physical impacts on Raja/Muskrat/Bailey/Boot
21		Lake canoe route.
22		 Concern regarding native brook trout
23		Both Alternatives:
24		• Suggested timing restriction on hauling (last Saturday in June to Labour Day).
25		 Suggested alternative corridor which AFA/Ontario Parks have previously
26		investigated and determined to be infeasible.
20 27		 Ongoing concern that removal of vehicular access over Annie Bay dam affects
28		traditional Algonquin harvesting rights has been expressed in the process
20 29		related to the dam replacement.
30		Telated to the dam replacement.
31		
32	4.	Proposed Corridor
33		
34		(a) Description: Alternative 1
35		(b) Use management strategy: As above
36		(c) Rationale: Alternative 1 is proposed as it has the least environmental impact:
37		• Fewer watercrossings,
38		• Less distance inside the brook trout AOC and does not provide road access
39		to previously inaccessible lakes.
40		• Overall shorter road length
41		
42	5.	Summary of Public Comments
43		
44	Comp	lete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation.
45	1	
46	6.	Selected Corridor

- 1
- 2 If the proposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation
- 3 is required.
- 4
- 5 If the selected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed
- 6 corridor and/or use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections
- 7 4(a), (b) and (c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy.
- 8

3

4

1.

ROAD NAME/IDENTIFIER: Manta Lake Road

Alternative Corridors

5 accessed via the Hogan Lake Road and a crossing of the Hogan Lake marsh at the south end 6 of Hogan Lake. This crossing no longer exists and for several reasons will not be rebuilt. 7 Primary access to the area must be developed either south from the Narrowbag road or west 8 from the Bisset Creek Road. 9 10 2. **Environmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors** 11 Alternative corridor number: Alternative 1 - Manta Lake Road 12 (a) Description (attach map): This alternative involves constructing approximately 13 (b) 3km of new road to connect the existing road to the south with the Narrowbag 14 15 Road to the north 16 Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): (c) 17 Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 1 has a lesser (i) environmental impact with respect to water crossings, as all are over relatively 18 small creeks, and is the most efficient route, minimizing overall trucking 19 20 related environmental impacts. 21 22 Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) - (e)): (ii) 23 Maintenance - General road maintenance will consist of the following 24 activities: 25 road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching _ 26 repair of washouts _ 27 clearing of obstacles from right-of-way brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. 28 29 snowplowing and sanding _ dust control 30 _ 31 signage and safety structure repairs _ 32 culvert repairs and cleaning minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability 33 34 and safety 35 bridge and culvert replacement 36 37 <u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the 38 Algonquin Forestry Authority. 39 40 Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin 41 Park, this road is closed to the public. 42 43 Road Responsibility Transfer – As it is anticipated that this road will be used 44 by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer 45 responsibility. 46

In the last cycle the area bounded by Burntroot, Manta and Hogan Lakes (FAM Area 32) was

1 2		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management:
2 3		Alternative 1 would be the most direct route, with the lower construction and haul costs of the two alternatives. No major bridges are required, as all water
3 4		crossings are over small creeks. This alternative also has the least impact on
5		canoe routes as it only crosses the Manta Lake portage.
6		cance fouces as it only crosses the Manta Lake portage.
0 7		
8 9	(a)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2 - Charles Lake Rd Extension
10	(b)	Description (attach map): This alternative involves the upgrading of
10	(0)	approximately 10.4 km of road from the Bissett Creek Road near Charles lake
12		to the Little Madawaska River to the west. A major crossing of the Little
12		Madawaska River north of Hogan Lake would require a bridge with a span of
13		at least 16 metres (50'). Approximately 3.6 km of major upgrades and new
15		road construction would be required between the Little Madawaska river and
16		the existing Manta Lake road to the west.
17		the enisting franta Lake foud to the west
18	(c)	Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):
19	(•)	(i) Advantages and disadvantages:
20		Alternative 2 has greater environmental impacts due to much more significant
21		road construction, longer haul routes and a major water crossing.
22		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23		(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)):
24		Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following
25		activities:
26		- road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching
27		- repair of washouts
28		- clearing of obstacles from right-of-way
29		- brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.
30		- snowplowing and sanding
31		- dust control
32		- signage and safety structure repairs
33		- culvert repairs and cleaning
34		- minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability
35		and safety
36		- bridge and culvert replacement
37		
38		<u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the
39		Algonquin Forestry Authority.
40		
41		<u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin
42		Park, this road is closed to the public.
43		
44		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used
45		by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer
46		responsibility.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		(iv) Estimated costs of construction and use management Alternative 2 would result in significantly higher construction costs, approximately \$250,000 above Alternative 1. Alternative 2 also results in higher hauling costs due to the less direct route. Alternative 2 is also less desirable from a social perspective as the route necessitates the crossing of the canoe route on the Little Madawaska River.
9	3.	Summary of Public Comments
10		
11		• Alternative 2 has greater impact on canoe routes.
12		
13	4.	Proposed Corridor
14	C I	
15	Comp	lete this section prior to Phase I: Stage Three of consultation.
		(a) Description : Alternative 1 Monte Lake Rd
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		±
		• Eliminates the need for a major watercrossing.
	5	Summony of Dublic Commonts
	5.	Summary of Fublic Comments
	Comp	lete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation
	Comp	iele uns section aller i nase i. Stage Three of consultation.
	6	Selected Corridor
	0.	
	If the 1	proposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation
	-	
	15 1040	
	If the s	selected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed
33		
34		b) and (c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy.
35		
36		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 	 5. Compl 6. If the p is required If the second control of the second control o	 (a) Description : Alternative 1 – Manta Lake Rd (b) Use management strategy: As above (c) Rationale: Alternative 1 is proposed: Less impact on recreational values Eliminates the need for a major watercrossing. Summary of Public Comments lete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation. Selected Corridor proposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation ired. selected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed or and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed or and/or use management strategy.

1.

ROAD NAME/IDENTIFIER: Three Mile Lake Road

Alternative Corridors

4 In the last cycle the Three Mile Lake Road ran down the west side of Three Mile Lake, at 5 some points along the shore. Access to the area south of Three Mile Lake (currently 6 designated as FAM Area 2 or 3) was via this road. The road is currently not driveable and 7 options are being looked at to avoid rebuilding the road along the shoreline of Three Mile 8 Lake. 9 10 2. **Environmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors** 11 12 Alternative corridor number: Alternative 1 – Original route (a) 13 Description (attach map): The original route used in the previous harvest cycle. (b) 14 The current road location is close to Three Mile Lake, often within 500m. Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): 15 (c) Advantages and disadvantages: 16 (i) 17 Alternative 1 has disadvantages associated with being located close to Three Mile Lake, but would require the least use of aggregate and construction 18 related disturbance, since the entire length would be reconstructed upon the 19 20 footprint of the existing road. It also has the social disadvantage of using the portage between Manitou Lake and Three Mile Lake as the road for 21 22 approximately 1 km. 23 24 Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) - (e)): (iii) 25 Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following 26 activities: 27 road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching repair of washouts 28 29 clearing of obstacles from right-of-way _ 30 brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. snowplowing and sanding 31 _ 32 dust control 33 signage and safety structure repairs 34 culvert repairs and cleaning -35 minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety 36 bridge and culvert replacement 37 38 Monitoring - roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the 39 Algonquin Forestry Authority. 40 41 42 Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin 43 Park, this road is closed to the public. 44

1 2 3 4		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility.
5 6 7 8 9		(iv) Estimated costs of construction and use management:Alternative 1 would result in road construction costs approximately 13 to18 percent less than the other alternatives.
10 11	(a)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2- Three Mile Lake Bypass
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(b) (c)	 Description (attach map): The new proposed location for Three Mile Lake Road with three new bypass sections located outside the 2005 FMP Brook Trout AOC on Three Mile Lake. A total of approximately 9 km of new road construction would be required to locate the road further from the lake, in addition to the use of sections of rebuilt road from the previous harvest cycle. Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 2 is approximately 1 km shorter than Alternative 1, resulting in lesser environmental impacts related to trucking (noise, wildlife collisions, air pollution). Alternative 2 also has the advantage of avoiding five small water crossings associated with Alternative 1, south of Three Mile Lake.
23 24 25 26		 Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)): <u>Maintenance</u> – General road maintenance will consist of the following activities:
20 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38		 road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching repair of washouts clearing of obstacles from right-of-way brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc. snowplowing and sanding dust control signage and safety structure repairs culvert repairs and cleaning minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety bridge and culvert replacement
39 40 41 42 43 44		Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority. Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public.

1 2 3 4		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility.
5 6		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management:Alternative 2 - would result in similar construction costs to Alternative 3, but
7 8		would result in slightly higher trucking costs due to the longer haul distance.
9		
10 11	(a)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 3- Totem Lake Road
12	(b)	Description (attach map): Alternative 3 would involve the construction of
13		approximately 6.3 km of new road on the east side of Three Mile Lake, linking
14		the Maple Lake road with the southern portion of the existing Three Mile Lake
15		road.
16	(c)	Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):
17		(i) Advantages and disadvantages:
18		Alternative 3 is approximately 1 km shorter than Alternative 2, and 2.5 km
19		shorter than Alternative 1, resulting in lesser environmental impacts related to
20		trucking (noise, wildlife collisions, air pollution). This alternative has the
21		social advantage of avoiding the crossing of the portage between Kawa and
22		Upper Kawa lakes, but does require the crossing of the low use portage
23		between Upper Kawa and Totem lakes.
24		
25		(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items $(a) - (e)$):
26		Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following
27		activities:
28		 road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching
29		- repair of washouts
30		- clearing of obstacles from right-of-way
31		- brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.
32		- snowplowing and sanding
33		- dust control
34		- signage and safety structure repairs
35		- culvert repairs and cleaning
36		- minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability
37		and safety
38		- bridge and culvert replacement
39 40		Monitoring roads and water prossings will be monitored appually by the
40 41		<u>Monitoring</u> – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the
41 42		Algonquin Forestry Authority.
42 43		Access Restrictions – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin
43 44		Park, this road is closed to the public.
44 45		
Ъ		

1 2 3 4		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility.	
5 6 7		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management: Alternative 3 would result in the lowest overall haul costs and would have similar construction costs to Alternative 2.	
8 9	3.	Summary of Public Comments	
10	J.	Alternative 1:	
11		 Concern regarding impact of road on canoe route in Three Mile, Kawa and 	
12		Upper Kawa Lakes.	
13		Alternative 2:	
14		Concern regarding impact of road on portages (Sinclair-Kawa; Manitou-	
15		Three Mile).	
16		All Alternatives:	
17		• Suggestion that subsequent branch road planning consider breaks at canoe	
18		routes/portages.	
19			
20	4.	Proposed Corridor	
21		(a) Description: Alternative 3 – Totem Lake Rd.	
22		(b) Use management strategy: As above	
23		(c) Rationale: Alternative 3 is proposed:	
24		• It moves the primary road away from Three Mile Lake and associated	
25		canoe routes.	
26		• Overall shorter length of road.	
27			
28	5.	Summary of Public Comments	
29			
30	Com	plete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation.	
31			
32	6.	Selected Corridor	
33			
34	If the proposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation		
35	is req	uired.	
36	TC -1		
37		selected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed	
38		for and/or use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections	
39 40	4(a),	(b) and (c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy.	
40			
41			

4

5

6

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43 44

ROAD NAME/IDENTIFIER: Thompson Lake Road

Alternative Corridors 1.

The Thompson Lake Road is existing, but not drivable. Options for accessing FAM area 5 are being investigated.

- 7 2. **Environmental Analysis of Alternative Corridors**
- 8 9 Alternative corridor number: Alternative 1 – Original Route (a) 10 Description (attach map): Alternative 1 is the original route used in the (b) previous harvest cycle. This alternative would require the rebuilding of 11 12 approximately 4.1 km of old road outside Algonquin park, from the Daventry 13 Road to the park boundary near Thompson Lake, and another 1 km inside the 14 park, with the remainder of the road inside the park shared with alternative 2. This alternative would require a new bridge with a span of approximately 10 15 16 metres (30') over Pautois Creek just off of Daventry Road at km 8, and a new bridge with span of approximately 14 metres (40') between Thompson and 17 Little Thompson Lakes. 18 19
 - Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7): (c)
 - (i) Advantages and disadvantages: Alternative 1 results in the shortest haul distance, which reduces trucking related environmental impacts, but requires more road construction work (and related environmental disturbance) than alternative 2. Alternative 1 requires the construction of two significant permanent bridges, which is the most significant environmental impact of the three alternatives considered.
 - Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) (e)): (ii) Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following activities:
 - road base improvements gravelling and grading, ditching repair of washouts
 - clearing of obstacles from right-of-way _
 - brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.
 - snowplowing and sanding
 - dust control
 - signage and safety structure repairs
 - culvert repairs and cleaning
 - minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability and safety
 - bridge and culvert replacement _
 - Monitoring roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the Algonquin Forestry Authority.
 - Access Restrictions As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin Park, this road is closed to the public.

1 2 3 4		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer responsibility.
5 6 7 8 9 10		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management:Due to the length of road to upgrade and the two large bridges required, the construction of Alternative 1 would be 10% to 80% more costly than alternative 2. The wide range in cost difference is related to the uncertainty of adjacent work that may be undertaken by operators on the Nipissing Forest.
11	(a)	Alternative comider number Alternative 2. Thempson Lake Dungs North
12	(a)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 2- Thompson Lake Bypass-North
13 14	(b)	Description (attach map): Alternative 2 would require a new section of road
14	(0)	connecting the original route inside the park with the Daventry Road directly
16		to the East. This alternative would avoid the need for the two significant
17		permanent bridges required for Alternative 1. In order to harvest the area to
18		the north of Little Thompson Lake, a portable bridge would be required
19		between Thompson Lake and Little Thompson Lake, or skid trails could be
20		used to cross the park boundary from the north, if work on the adjacent
21		Nipissing Forest permitted access to that area.
22	(c)	Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):
23		(i) Advantages and disadvantages: Compared to Alternative 1, this
24		alternative would have less environmental impact as there are no major
25		permanent bridges required, but would add approximately 4km to the
26		distance to be travelled by log trucks.
27		(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) – (e)):
28		Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following
29		activities:
30		- road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching
31		- repair of washouts
32		- clearing of obstacles from right-of-way
33		- brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.
34		- snowplowing and sanding
35		- dust control
36		- signage and safety structure repairs
37		- culvert repairs and cleaning
38		- minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability
39		and safety
40		- bridge and culvert replacement
41		
42		Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the
43		Algonquin Forestry Authority.
44		
45		<u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin
46		Park, this road is closed to the public.

1		
2		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used
3		by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer
4		responsibility.
5		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management:
6		Alternative 2 is the least costly alternative to construct, but would result in
7		slightly higher wood hauling costs due to the increase in total trucking distance
8		compared to Alternative 1.
9		
10	(a)	Alternative corridor number: Alternative 3 – Thompson Lake Bypass -
10	(u)	South
11		South
12	(b)	Description (attach man): Alternative 2 is the construction of approximately 10
	(b)	Description (attach map): Alternative 3 is the construction of approximately 10
14		km of new and existing road, linking the Daventry road south of Brain lake
15		with the operating units to the northwest by following a route entirely within
16		Algonquin Park. In order to harvest the area to the north of Little Thompson
17		Lake, a portable bridge would be required between Thompson Lake and Little
18		Thompson Lake, or skid trails could be used to cross the park boundary from
19		the north, if work on the adjacent Nipissing Forest permitted access to that
20		area.
21	(c)	Environmental analysis (Part A, Section 1.2.7):
22		(i) Advantages and disadvantages: This alternative would require a new
23		bridge with a span of approximately 10 metres (30') over Cauchon Creek, as
24		well as several culverts over smaller creeks. Compared to Alternative 2, the
25		indirect route created by this alternative would require3 km of additional road
26		construction and would add 12 km to the log haul route. The combination of
27		water crossings, road construction and additional trucking required by this
28		alternative contribute to a significantly higher environmental impact than the
29		other two alternatives.
30		(ii) Use management strategy (Part A, Section 1.3.6.6, items (a) –(e)):
31		(1) (1)
32		Maintenance – General road maintenance will consist of the following
33		activities:
33 34		- road base improvements – gravelling and grading, ditching
34 35		
35 36		
		- clearing of obstacles from right-of-way
37		- brushing along roadsides, around signs, line of sight etc.
38		- snowplowing and sanding
39		- dust control
40		- signage and safety structure repairs
41		- culvert repairs and cleaning
42		- minor bridge work to preserve structural integrity, serviceability
43		and safety
44		- bridge and culvert replacement
45		Monitoring – roads and water crossings will be monitored annually by the
46		Algonquin Forestry Authority.

1		
1		A appear Destrictions A sight appear with most interior mode in Algorithmic
2		<u>Access Restrictions</u> – As is the case with most interior roads in Algonquin
3		Park, this road is closed to the public.
4		
5		<u>Road Responsibility Transfer</u> – As it is anticipated that this road will be used
6		by the forest industry for the next 20 years there are no plans to transfer
7		responsibility.
8		
9		(iii) Estimated costs of construction and use management:
10		Construction of this alternative would cost two to three times more than the
11		others, and would result in additional log hauling costs of \$165,000 and
12		\$120,000 for Alternatives 1 and 2 respectively.
13		
14	3.	Summary of Public Comments
15		Alternative 1:
16		Concern regarding watercrossings and access
17		Alternative 2 :
18		
18 19		• Supportive of this option
		Alternative 3:
20		• Concern regarding increased use of Daventry Road and impact on Brain Lake
21		canoe route.
22		
23	4.	Proposed Corridor
24		(a) Description: Alternative 1
25		(b) Use management strategy: As above
26		(c) Rationale: Alternative 1:
27		• Uses the park boundary crossing that was used in the last harvest cycle
28		• Requires the least amount of primary road construction.
29		• No impact on recreational values.
30		Ι
31	5.	Summary of Public Comments
32		
33	Comr	blete this section after Phase I: Stage Three of consultation.
34	com	note and section after I have I. Stage Three of constitution.
35	6.	Selected Corridor
36	0.	
30 37	If the	proposed corridor and use management strategy are selected, no further documentation
38	is req	
39	15 ICq	uneu.
40	If the	selected corridor and/or use management strategy is different from the proposed
40 41		lor and/or use management strategy, complete the applicable requirements of sections
41	conte	
10	$\Lambda(\alpha)$	(b) and (a) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy
42 43	4(a),	(b) and (c) for the selected corridor an/or use management strategy.